PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 6 JUN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference LHNB60671	FOR FURTHER ACT	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2005/000443	International filing date (da 13.01.2005	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.01.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. C12N15/85					
Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED et al.					
Authority under Article 35 and	transmitted to the applicant	according to Article 3	is International Preliminary Examining 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a tot	al of 7 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanie					
a. 🛭 sent to the applicant an					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
☐ sheets which super beyond the disclost Supplemental Box.	ure in the international appli	ich this Authority con cation as filed, as inc	siders contain an amendment that goes licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
, iolating to coquents =			<u> </u>		
4. This report contains indication	s relating to the following ite	ms:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the	report				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☑ Box No. III Non-establis	hment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	of invention				
applicability;	citations and explanations	with regard to novel supporting such state	ty, inventive step or industrial ement		
Box No. VI Certain docu					
1	cts in the international appli				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internationa	ii application	•		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report		
			·		
03.04.2006		01.06.2006			
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized officer	Suches Potentiale -		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pa	ys Bas	Lonnoy, O			
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Ty Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	c 31 651 epo ni	Telephone No. +31 70	340-4294		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000443

	Вох	No. I Basis of the report				
	With	regard to the language, this report is based on				
	×	the international application i	n the language in which it was filed			
			nal application into , which is the language			
٠	!	☐ international search (und	er Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) ional application (under Rule 12.4(a)) examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))			
2.	have	n regard to the elements* of e been furnished to the recei ort as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this a not annexed to this report):			
			: · · ·			
	Desc	cription, Pages				
	1-27	7	as originally filed			
	0	uence listings part of the desc	erintion Pages			
	3eq	uence listings part of the des	received on 10.05.2005 with letter of 09.05.2005			
	1					
	Clai	ims, Numbers				
	1-8,	10-22	filed with the demand			
	9		filed during an interview on 16.05.2006			
	Drav	wings, Sheets				
	1/12	2-12/12	as originally filed			
	Ø	a sequence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.		The amendments have res	ulted in the cancellation of:			
		☐ the description, pages				
		the claims, Nos.the drawings, sheets/figs				
		The sequence listing (sp	ecify):			
		any table(s) related to s	equence listing (specify):			
4.	□ had Sup	This report has been estab d not been made, since they pplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c	lished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the)).			
		the description, pages				
		☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig.	s			
		☐ the sequence listing (sp	ecify):			
		☐ any table(s) related to s	·			
	*	If item 4 applies, s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000443

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1.	The obv	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- poious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 18,20 (industrial applicability)				
	bec	ause:				
	⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 18,20 (industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinior could be formed (specify).				
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.				
	口	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
		If turnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.				
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
		See separate sheet for further details				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000443

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17,19,21-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000443

	Supp	ple	emental Box relating to Sequence Listing		
Co	ntinu	Jat	tion of Box I, item 2:		
1.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:				
	a. tyr	ре	of material:		
	×	3	a sequence listing		
	_]	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. for	rm	at of material:		
	×	3	on paper		
	. 🛮	3	in electronic form		
	c. tin	ne	of filing/furnishing:		
]	contained in the international application as filed		
]	filed together with the international application in electronic form		
	×	3	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination		
]	received by this Authority as an amendment* on		
2.	1	the	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating ereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or ditional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, appropriate, were furnished.		
3.	Addi	itio	nal comments:		
	SEE !	92	parate sheet		

If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked "superseded."

I. Basis (Continuation)

- The statement that the written and electronic sequence listings subsequently filed to this I.S.A. do not include matter which extend beyond the content of the application as filed is missing. Since filing of said statement is a legal requirement, the sequence listings might be considered as not having been validly filed.
- During a telephone interview held on 16/05/2006, the Applicant requested amendment of claim 9, in the claim set filed with the Demand, to read as follows: "A polynucleotide vector comprising a promoter having the R2 enhancer element of the HCMV US3 gene promoter, and a minimal promoter element from a non-HCMV US3 gene promoter, the promoter being operably linked to a region encoding a tumor-associated antigen, self antigen or antigen derived from a pathogen which is foreign with respect to the HCMV US3 protein". Basis being found e.g. on p.6 ln.3, p.8 ln.32, p10 ln.30 and p.4 ln.9-10.

III. Non-establishment of opinion (Continuation)

Claim 18, and claim 20 as far as the latter relates to a method practised in vivo, relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated in respect of the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

V. Reasoned statement (Continuation)

1. CITATIONS

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: Chan Y-J et al (1996) J.Virol. vol.70, pp.5312-5328.

D3: Ertl PF et al (2003) Methods : a Companion to Methods in Enzymology, vol. 31, pp.199-206, XP004457832 ISSN: 1046-2023

D4: Thrower A et al (1996) J. Virol., vol.70, pp.91-100.

2. NOVELTY (Art. 33(2) PCT)

2.1. Claims 1-22 satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT) does not appear to disclose HCMV US3 gene promoter element operably linked to a region encoding a tumor-associated antigen, self antigen or antigen derived from a pathogen which is foreign with respect to the HCMV US3 protein.

3. INVENTIVE STEP (Art. 33(3) PCT)

3.1. The present application does satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT, the subject-matter of claims 1-22 involving an inventive step (Art.33(3) PCT and R.65(1)(2) PCT), for the following reasons: D3, a review article on DNA vaccine vectors, can be considered to represent the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000443

closest prior art. Most common DNA vaccine vectors are hCMV Mie promoter-based constructs, alternative promoters mentioned being the SV40-, RSV-, beta-actin-, and alpha-globin-promoters. No mention nor suggestion of the HCMV US3 gene promoter is made in D3. The objective problem underlying the application is the provision of an alternative promoter suitable for antigen expression in nucleic acid immunisation. The proposed solution is to rely on HCMV US3 gene promoter elements, to direct expression of the tumor-associated antigen, self antigen or antigen derived from a pathogen which is foreign with respect to the HCMV US3 protein. Said solution can be considered to involve inventive activity for the following reasons: HCMV US3 promoter elements were characterised in the prior art (e.g. D1, D4). However, no document could be found in the prior art to suggest their usefulness in driving expression of a tumor-associated antigen, self antigen or antigen derived from a pathogen which is foreign with respect to the HCMV US3 protein. HCMV US3 promoter element-based vectors are shown in the application to yield expression levels in dendritic cells higher than e.g. SV40-promoter based vector (see e.g. example 3) and, most importantly, to induce antigen-specific CTL responses in mice and in pigs that are comparable to those induced by vectors based on the HCMV Major immediate early promoter (see e.g. figures 5 and 7-12). HCMV US3 promoter element-based vectors thus appear to be suitable alternatives to HCMV Mie promoter-based vectors, at least for antigen expression in DNA immunisation.

The US3 R1 silencer-element is an optional technical feature of the solution to said problem: D4 teaches (e.g. at Figure 1c) that a promoter holding the R1 silencer element is still active, albeit to a lower level than in absence of said silencer. Thus, said R1 enhancer element enables the skilled person to tailor transcription levels according to his needs, by deciding to include said silencer in his expression construct, or to omit it.

Finally, the skilled person would know how to reduce the subject-matter of claim 9 to practice, since transfer of the enhancer activity of the US3 R2 region to a heterologous promoter is known from the prior art (e.g. D1 p.5317 col.1 par.1).

4. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (Art. 33(4) PCT)

4.1. For the assessment of present claim 18, and of claim 20 as far as it relates to a method practised in vivo, on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.